Building Regional Collaboration along Corridors
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The Grand Boulevard Initiative

• A collaboration of stakeholders united to achieve a shared vision for El Camino Real.

• Regional opportunity to meet housing needs and spur economic development.
The El Camino Real Corridor

- State Route 82
- 43 miles
  - Daly City (San Francisco/San Mateo County line) to San Jose Diridon Station
- Only major north-south arterial
- Paralleled by BART and Caltrain lines
- Most productive VTA and SamTrans bus service
Existing Conditions

• Auto oriented
• “Long traffic delays”
• High accident rate
• Low use of commute alternatives to the SOV
• Low performing strip commercial development
Existing Conditions

• 1.3:1 Jobs/Housing Imbalance
• Predicted to reach 1.6:1 by 2035

• Low-density land use
• 450,000 residents living within ¼ mile of the road
Genesis of the Grand Blvd Initiative
The “Coalition of the Willing”

Grand Boulevard Task Force

– First convened in March 2006
– 19 Cities, 2 Counties, CMAs, Transit Agencies, Regional MPO, ABAG, CA DOT, and representation for labor, development and environment
– Task Force and Working Committee

Challenge: How can we collaborate to plan for TOD along a bi-county and multi-city State highway?
Grand Boulevard Initiative Vision

“Walkable” Mixed-Use

“Complete Streets”

Land Use Intensification

Enhanced Transit Service
Key Milestones

2006
• Task Force

2007
• Vision and Guiding Principles
• GBI Recognition Awards

2008
• El Camino Corridor designated as MTC Planned Priority Development Area (PDA)

2009
• Public Forum
• SAMCEDA “Excellence” Award
Key Milestones

2010

- Multimodal Transportation Corridor Plan
- Economic & Housing Opportunities Study Phase I
- NorCal APA and APA CA “Distinguished Leadership Award”
- Stakeholder Work Program
Corridor Challenges and Policy Areas

• Implementing a shared corridor vision
• Corridor management
• Intensification and diversification
• Role of transit and relationship to economic development
• Urban design
• Funding
Moving Forward: U.S DOT - TIGER II

Grand Boulevard: Removing Barriers to Livable Communities

Total Project Cost: $1,697,240

Goals:

• Designing El Camino Real as a Complete Street
• Economic Development Case Studies
• Infrastructure Needs Assessment and Financing Strategy
Moving Forward: MTC Climate Initiatives

Making the Last Mile Connection

Total Project Cost: $1,845,200

Goal: Demonstrate the role of TDM in smart growth

• Car sharing
• Short-distance Vanpool
• Telework and Flex-schedules
• Targeted marketing
• Regional Bike Share
• Electric Vehicle Charging Stations
Moving Forward:
Silicon Valley Community Foundation

From Grass Tops to Grass Roots

Total Project Cost: $107,100

Goals:
• Social Marketing
• Partnering with Advocacy Organizations
• Community Engagement and Education
• Develop a Resident Ambassador Program
Grand Boulevard Initiative

Grand Boulevard Forum Video Clip

Speaker: Michael Freedman

www.grandboulevard.net
Grand Boulevard Initiative

Economic and Housing Opportunities (ECHO) Assessment
Economic and Housing Opportunities (ECHO) Assessment

Purpose of the Assignment:
Making the Case for Transformation of the Corridor into the Grand Boulevard

Our Analysis:
1. Estimates of Potential Growth on the Corridor
2. Profile of Transformational Projects
3. Measure the Fiscal and Other Benefits of Transformation
4. Visualize and Study the Physical Implications of Transformation
Growth Scenarios Forecast Substantial New Housing and Job Growth on Corridor

- Greenbelt Alliance: 98,849 households, 240,544 jobs
- FOCUS PDAs: 45,071 households, 107,135 jobs
- GBI Baseline: 39,147 households, 138,543 jobs
- GBI Moderate: 57,355 households, 190,395 jobs
- GBI Enhanced: 89,270 households, 246,231 jobs
The Corridor has Capacity to Accommodate Future Growth

• Land capacity > amount of land required for infill
• Can be achieved at a range of densities

20-25 DU/AC – 2+ stories, attached townhomes, underground parking

25-35 DU/AC – 3-4 stories, stacked attached townhomes, tuck-under parking

70-85 DU/AC – 6-8 stories, flats over structured parking/ground floor retail
Fiscal Benefits of Infill Development ~ $330 million to $752 million in local tax revenues

![Bar chart showing fiscal benefits of infill development by different scenarios and revenue sources.](chart.png)
Conversion of Low-Performing Retail Sites to Higher Intensity Uses Can be Generate More Revenues
Infrastructure and Service Costs

Smart growth can lower infrastructure costs

O&M Costs Vary

• Economies of scale for some departments
• Further analysis needed
Other Benefits of Transformation

• Revitalization and value enhancement
• Time and cost savings for households and employees
• More stable communities
• Provide a variety of housing types
• Access to skilled labor force
Visual Character Must Change To Unlock Potential of the Grand Boulevard

Transition from linear strip arterial to a pattern of centers and segments

Need to create an attractive environment for development

Development projects that capture, maintain, and add value
Public and private stakeholders must work together to effect change

- Regulatory policies
  - Zoning for range of densities
  - Limited ground-floor retail
  - Reduced parking/ TDM

- Transparency and speed
  - Updating and streamlining approvals

- Removal of barriers
  - Re-evaluate City fees

- Targeted Investments
  - Site assembly and acquisition
  - “Public realm” improvements
Phase II Work: Implementation

- Selecting four case study cities on the Corridor
- In-depth look at major issues:
  - Removing barriers
  - Infrastructure needs assessment
  - Financing strategies at local and regional level
Grand Boulevard Initiative

Creating a Big Tent...

Regional Collaboration:

Implemented in a City along the Corridor
San Carlos, CA

- Population 28,000
- 100 ac. in a Planned Development Area
- El Camino Real/Caltrain Corridor – 1.9 miles in length
Evolution of the Corridor

- Missionary Trail
- Train Corridor
- Auto Oriented Commercial Strip
- Transit Bus Corridor

Envisioned to become:
- Multimodal with Nodes of Residential/Mixed Use/Commercial
San Carlos is Central
…with Employment Centers
Tools for Implementation

- General Plan/Climate Action Plan
- Zoning Ordinance
- Priority Development Area (PDA)
- ECHO
- Available Grants
- Planning entitlements
- Redevelopment
- Capital Projects
General Plan Elements

Housing
Circulation
Environmental Management
Parks & Recreation
Community Safety & Services
Noise

Land Use
San Carlos CAP = Approximately 63,039 Metric Tons of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent prevented from release into the atmosphere.
Update the Zoning Ordinance

- Adopt a Transit Oriented Development Code
- Adopt a Transportation Demand Management Code
Focusing Development
…near the Depot
... a Multi-modal center
Implementing… the Transit Village
Imagining...Multimodal improvements
...and an improved pedestrian realm

New TOD Development

The 100% intersection
Defining…Potential ECHO Nodes
... at underutilized Sites
... at key intersections
... at key intersections
Imagining... High Speed Rail
Challenges

• Legacy of the auto strip
  – Gas stations
  – Car Washes/oil changers, etc
  – Drive thru fast food
  – Low end motels.

• Small lots, multiple ownership, family trusts
• Future of Redevelopment Agencies
• Funding
Opportunities

• Transit-oriented development to meet housing needs and spur economic development
• Local objectives and regional goals in balance
• Federal, State and Regional interest in TOD and the availability of grant funding
• State DOT support for regional approach and consistent permitting along the Corridor
• Creating the “Grand” in the Boulevard
Thank You

Barbara Pierce  
Councilmember  
City of Redwood City  
bpierce@redwoodcity.org  
(650) 368-6246
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City of San Carlos  
dnelson@cityofsanCarlos.org  
(650) 802-4264

Corinne Goodrich  
San Mateo County Transit District  
goodrichc@samtrans.com  
(650) 508-6369

Sujata Srivastava  
Strategic Economics  
ssrivastava@strategiceconomics.com

www.grandboulevard.net
Getting it Done:
Transit-Oriented Districts and Walkable Communities

What We Are Learning
2010 Workshop Series Summary and Opportunities for Action

2011 New Partners for Smart Growth Conference
The Twin Cities Regional Transit System –
Financing, Regulation, Operations, Planning

- Metropolitan Council / Metro Transit
- Cities
- Watershed Districts
- 7 counties (County Boards and Regional Rail Authorities)
- Counties Transit Improvement Board (CTIB)
- State Legislature
- Governor
Community Partners

- Community development corporations – housing, economic development, land trust, equity
- Housing advocates
- Transit advocates (bike, bus, pedestrian)
- Developers
- Environmental groups
- Equity advocates
Central Corridor LRT Alignment
Regional Collaboration for Transit

Key Issues:
- Route alignment
- Mode (BRT, LRT, streetcar, bus)
- Transit-oriented development
- Financing
- Equity
- Investment beyond transit
- Land use planning
- Zoning / regulation
Workshop Topics:

**Workshop I: Infrastructure and the Public Realm**
Gary Toth, Project for Public Spaces

**Workshop II: Connecting Land Use and Transportation**
Joe Schilling, Virginia Tech Metropolitan Center

**Workshop III: Equitable Development and Community Benefits**
Leslie Moody, Partnership With Working Families

**Workshop IV: Financing TOD, Building Public/Private Partnerships**
Dena Belzer, Strategic Economics
Outcomes and results

- **Create** equitable transit-oriented districts
- **Build coalition** of partners to work toward equitable TOD
- **Implement policies** in support of equitable TOD
- **Form new partnerships** to plan for and implement equitable TOD
- **Establish financing mechanisms** to reward equitable TOD
Definition: Transit Oriented Development

A transit-oriented development (TOD) is a mixed-use area designed to maximize access to public transport, and often incorporates features to encourage transit ridership. Specifically TOD land use is:

1. Moderate to higher density development;
2. A mixture of residential, employment, shopping, and civic uses and types;
3. In walkable proximity to a major transit station; and
4. Oriented principally to transit, pedestrian and bicycle travel from the surrounding area, without excluding automobiles.
Who attended?

- 200 participants attended, 35% attended 3 – 4 sessions.
- Representatives from 16 cities, 5 counties, Met Council, and state and federal agencies.
- Cross-disciplinary and cross-sector involvement.
- Developers, advocacy groups, and community-based organizations.
- Paired national speakers with local responder panels
WORKSHOP 1
Infrastructure and the Public Realm

- Complete Streets
- Right-of-way
- Maintenance, aesthetics, stormwater
- Green / open space and public art
- Signal pre-emption
WORKSHOP 2
Connecting Land Use and Transportation

- Local zoning codes
- Planning for density
- Regulatory roles
- Community engagement
WORKSHOP 3
Equitable Development & Community Benefits

- Identify points of influence
- Timing mismatch
- Connecting different priorities
- Engagement over time
WORKSHOP 4
Financing Transit-Oriented Districts

- Targeting public resources
- Define goals and outcomes
- Gap financing needed
- Strengthen public / private partnerships
WORKSHOP 4
Financing Transit-Oriented Districts

Lessons:
- There is no silver bullet or perfect tool kit for financing Transit-Oriented Districts
- Financing follows a vision, which can be “fixed,” but the implementation strategy has to be flexible and dynamic
- Resources need to be deployed to maximize leverage and maximize impact
- Proactive leadership is essential
- Partnerships are essential
Strengthen Predictability / Reduce Risk

- Articulate community values and goals
- Coordinate strategic investments
- Create destinations
- Build confidence in market demand
- Provide patient capital
End Products

- Presentation of findings and recommendations from the TOD series to be shared with city councils, planning commissions, legislative committees, and other key partners.
- A web site that contains all of the presentations, links, and information gathered at the *Getting it Done TOD series* is available at: [www.twincitiestoolkit.com/tod-workshop-series.html](http://www.twincitiestoolkit.com/tod-workshop-series.html)
- A shared definition of transit-oriented development.
- A collection of local TOD case studies that provides information on what it took to make it happen.
Areas of further work

1. Identify the **regulatory barriers** to TOD (i.e. watershed districts, HUD, FTA, EPA, etc.)

2. **Impediments in the capital markets** (i.e. parking requirements by private lenders, vertical mixed use, etc.)

3. **Financing Implementation Tools** – Incentivize TOD (i.e. TIF to do place-making elements first, loan guarantees and credit enhancement, etc.)

4. Region-wide market analysis to **prioritize investment areas**
Top Ten TOD Policy Strategies

1. **Zoning revisions** - minimum FAR, maximum parking
2. Invest in a system of transit improvements
3. **Prioritize investment areas** by identifying regional growth centers and conducting regional market analysis
4. Pass TIF for TOD
5. Make **Livable Communities Act** more explicitly a TOD program.
6. Use planning, urban design policy to influence design, engineering of LRT, BRT
7. Land banking resources (patient capital) and strategies
8. Land trust options for residential and commercial sites.
9. Improve watershed planning and coordination with cities.
10. Advocate against decentralized employment locations.
MIDDLE SEGMENT
MINNEAPOLIS: 1935

Land use and social delineation of the Minneapolis core area, 1935.
Community Planning for Equitable Development

Bassett Creek Valley Community Benefits Meeting, 2007

Penn & Glenwood Planning, 2009
For over 10 years, Harrison Neighborhood Association and Northside residents have been planning for the redevelopment of Bassett Creek Valley to provide quality affordable housing, living wage jobs, avoid displacement, and build community assets that will directly benefit the current residents.

Over 650 residents and other stakeholders participated in the planning process.
Established in 2000, membership includes Harrison and Bryn Mawr residents, Bassett Creek Valley business owners, Minneapolis City Council and Mayor’s office, and Ryan Companies. The committee continues to meet monthly.

**Bassett Creek Valley Master Plan was approved by the Minneapolis City Council in January 2007 and incorporated into the city’s comprehensive plan.**

Ryan Companies was awarded exclusive development rights in 2008.
Expected Redevelopment Outcomes of the Bassett Creek Valley Master Plan:

• 3,000+ housing units
• 2.5 M sq ft commercial space (office and retail)
• 5000 - 6000 jobs
• 40 ac. new green space
• After TIF repayment future projected tax revenue of $82 - $128 M
In April 2010, Harrison Neighborhood Association was successful in protecting the redevelopment of Bassett Creek Valley.

Minneapolis City Council struck language committing the City to negotiate an agreement to sell Linden Yards East to Hennepin County for a commuter rail storage facility to accommodate up to 14-16 commuter diesel trains.

Instead, City Council directed staff to study joint development strategies, if the area is identified for rail storage and provide a final report by December 2010.